

BUCHAREST UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMIC STUDIES



Doctoral School of Management

PhD THESIS

Public Defense by

Toru Takahashi

**THE EFFICIENCY OF HUMAN RESOURCES FOR
ORGANIZATIONAL INNOVATION**

PhD Supervisor: Professor Ph.D. Claudiu Cicea

Bucharest, June 2025

ABSTRACT

In today's interconnected global landscape, where innovation is both a strategic imperative and a complex challenge, organizations are under increasing pressure to rethink how human capital contributes to innovation. Conventional efficiency models—based on the logic of “less doing, more getting”—are no longer adequate. Particularly in diverse and dynamic environments, such reductive approaches can inhibit the adaptability, collaboration, and purpose-driven engagement required for innovation. This dissertation responds to this gap by proposing a redefinition of efficiency, tailored to the needs of globally oriented, innovation-driven enterprises.

The result is a new conceptual framework: **Human Resource Efficiency for Innovation (HEI)**, structured around four interrelated domains—**Human Resource Management**, **Knowledge Management**, **Kokorozashi** (an individual's personal mission), and **Investment**—collectively called the **HUKKI** model. Unlike traditional metrics that emphasize financial performance, this model captures previously hard-to-measure factors such as psychological safety, learning from failure, and intrinsic purpose. These elements are shown to be vital enablers of innovation yet have remained elusive in both theory and practice.

Empirical validation was conducted through both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews across major firms in Japan's technology, telecommunications, and manufacturing sectors. By triangulating internal data with external innovation benchmarks, the study offers a multi-dimensional assessment of how organizations can align human resource strategies with innovation outcomes.

More than a diagnostic tool, the HEI framework serves as a catalyst for strategic dialogue and executive reflection. Its greatest value lies not only in measurement, but in enabling meaningful conversations among leaders and teams about how innovation is nurtured through people, culture, and purpose. When used in context-rich, dialogic settings, HEI becomes a platform for organizational learning, alignment, and transformation—making it a practical and philosophical contribution to both academic theory and business practice.

Keywords:

New Efficiency of Human Resource, Organizational Innovation, Kokorozashi, Psychological Safety, Learning from Failure, Global Talent Development, Strategic Dialogue

REZUMAT

În peisajul global interconectat de astăzi, unde inovația reprezintă atât o necesitate strategică cât și o provocare complexă, organizațiile se află sub o presiune tot mai mare de a regândi modul în care capitalul uman contribuie la inovație. Modelele convenționale de eficiență – bazate pe logica „să faci mai puțin, să obții mai mult” – nu mai sunt adecvate. În special în medii diversificate și dinamice, aceste abordări reductive pot inhiba adaptabilitatea, colaborarea și angajamentul bazat pe scop, elemente esențiale pentru inovare. Această lucrare răspunde acestor lacune pe baza unei redefiniri a eficienței, adaptată nevoilor întreprinderilor orientate global pe inovație.

Rezultatul este un nou cadru conceptual: **Eficiența Resurselor Umane pentru Inovație (HEI)**, structurat în jurul a patru domenii interconectate: **Managementul Resurselor Umane**, **Managementul Cunoașterii**, **Kokorozashi** (misiune personală a individului) și **Investiția** – reunite sub denumirea de **model HUKKI**. Spre deosebire de metricile tradiționale care pun accent pe performanța financiară, acest model integrează factori anterior greu de cuantificat, precum siguranța psihologică, învățarea din eșec și scopul interior. Aceste elemente s-au dovedit a fi determinanți esențiali ai inovării, dar au rămas până acum dificil de evidențiat, atât în teorie, cât și în practică.

Validarea empirică a fost realizată prin metode cantitative (chestionare) și calitative (interviuri), aplicate în cadrul unor companii de top din Japonia, active în sectoarele tehnologiei, telecomunicațiilor și industriei prelucrătoare. Prin triangularea datelor interne cu indicatori externi de inovație, studiul oferă o evaluare multidimensională a modului în care strategiile de resurse umane pot fi aliniate cu rezultatele în materie de inovație.

Mai mult decât un instrument de diagnostic, cadrul HEI devine un catalizator al dialogului strategic și al reflecției executive. Valoarea sa cea mai mare nu constă doar în capacitatea de a măsura, ci în aceea de a stimula conversații semnificative între lideri și echipe despre cum este susținută inovația prin oameni, cultură și scop. Atunci când este aplicat în contexte bogate bazate pe dialog, conceptul HEI devine o platformă pentru învățare organizațională, aliniere și transformare — oferind astfel o contribuție practică și filozofică atât teoriei academice cât și practicii de business.

Cuvinte cheie:

Eficiență nouă a resurselor umane, Inovație organizațională, Kokorozashi, Siguranță psihologică, Învățare din eșec, Dezvoltarea talentului global, Dialog strategic

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Scope and research objective	11
1.1. The Importance of the Study.....	11
1.2. Motivation of the Chosen Theme	13
1.3. Research Questions.....	14
1.4. Research process and methodologies.....	15
1.4.1. Conceptual Foundations	15
1.4.2. Designing the Methodology.....	16
1.4.3. Empirical Data Collection and Interpretation.....	17
1.4.4 Synthesis and Model Proposition:.....	18
Chapter 2 Modern Perspectives on HR Practices.....	19
2.1. The Evolution of Human Resource Management Practice.....	19
2.2. Human Resources Management and Talent Operations Today	25
2.2.1. Adaptation of people management by global organizations through the transformation of HRM practice.....	25
2.2.2. Learning from the case studies of Google, Netflix	27
2.3. Human Resource Management in Contemporary Japan.....	28
2.3.1. Corporate Japan following the global trend of modernizing legacy HRM practices.....	28
2.3.2 The New Characteristics of HRM in Japan	31
Chapter 3 – The evolution of efficiency – effectiveness relationship over the last decades	33
3.1. Efficiency Versus Effectiveness	33
3.2. Legacy type of efficiency of traditional human resources	38
3.3. New challenges Japanese organizations have been facing:.....	43
3.4. Background of new challenges	50
3.5. Why do many Japanese organizations still struggle with talent transformation?.....	51
Chapter 4 – The Role of Innovation in Today's Economy.....	53
4.1. The importance of innovation in a VUCA world	54
4.2. Definition of Innovation vs Invention.....	55
4.3. Types of innovation and the importance of non-technological innovation.....	57
4.3.1 The Broad Horizon of Innovation.....	57
4.3.2 Unpacking Organizational Innovation	58
4.3.3 Organizational Innovation: An Expansive Concept	60
4.3.4 Perspectives on Management Innovation	61
4.4. Discussions and implications from the literature review.....	63
4.5. Necessity of new efficiency concept and measurement	69
Chapter 5: Methodological Approach: Evaluating HR Efficiency and Innovation.....	70
5.1. The rationale for the methodological approach.....	71

5.2. Evaluation of the Index for HR Efficiency	72
5.2.1. The purpose of creating the index for HR efficiency	72
5.2.2. Concept of the HR Efficiency for Innovation Index	73
5.2.3. Research methods, source of data and measures to collect data	74
5.3. Case Study Analysis.....	75
5.3.1. The purpose of Case Study Analysis.....	75
5.3.2. Criteria for selecting the case study companies.....	75
5.3.3. Outline of the selected companies for the case study.....	76
5.3.3.1. GIT COMPANY: Pioneering Technological Innovation through Strategic HRM	76
5.3.3.2. JTC COMPANY: Leveraging Human Capital for Digital Transformation.....	77
5.3.3.3. JEE COMPANY: Human-Centric Innovation through People Development	77
5.3.4. Research methods, source of data and measures to collect data	78
5.4 Synthesis and Implications.....	79
Chapter 6: Theoretical Insights: Linking HR Efficiency and Innovation.....	80
6.1. The necessity of new HR processes for organizational innovation.....	81
6.1.1. Conceptual Framework: Leveraging Failure Strategically.....	81
6.1.2. Practical Illustrations: Google and Netflix	82
6.1.3. Key Insights: Why Failure Drives Innovation	83
6.1.4 Integrating Framework, Practice, and the Role of Failure	84
6.2. Theoretical background for new HR processes	85
6.2.1. Critical processes for innovation.....	86
6.2.2 Integrated Impact and Practical Examples.....	92
6.3. The Integrated Model “HUKKI Model” for Innovation Process	94
6.3.1. Base Model Factors.....	94
6.3.2. Additional Added Factors	95
6.3.3. Model Significance and Effectiveness:	102
6.4. The Hypothetical Index to Evaluate the HR Efficiency for Innovation.....	103
6.4.1. Detailed Breakdown of the Four Categories	105
6.4.2. Sub-Criteria and Measurement Examples.....	108
6.5. Calculating the “HR Efficiency for Innovation” Index	117
6.5.1. Steps to Calculate the HEI.....	117
6.5.2. Rationale for Weight Allocation.....	119
6.5.3. Justification for Geometric Mean	119
6.5.4. Validation and Reliability	120
6.6. Expected Implications from the Index	121
6.6.1. Reimagining Organizational Potential	121
6.6.2. Unlocking Organizational Transformation.....	123
Chapter 7: Case Study Results of HR Efficiency in relation to Innovation	125

7.1. Introduction.....	125
7.2 Data Collection and Sub-Category Measurement Design.....	127
7.2.1. Detailed Measurement Process.....	127
7.2.2. Calculation Method of the Human Efficiency Index (HEI) – Example of calculation form GIT COMPANY Case	130
7.2.3. Validation of Data Sources.....	132
7.3 Test Cases: Company Selection and Context.....	133
7.3.1. Selection Criteria.....	133
7.3.1.1. Use of the BCG Innovation Rankings.....	133
7.3.1.2. Focus on ICT Industry	134
7.3.1.3. Mix of Ranked and Unranked Companies.....	136
7.3.2. Selected Companies: Profiles and Innovation Focus	136
7.3.2.1. GIT COMPANY (Ranked 18th in BCG 2023 Innovation Rankings).....	136
7.3.2.2. JTC COMPANY (Ranked 47th in BCG 2023 Innovation Rankings).....	137
7.3.2.3. JEE COMPANY (Unranked in 2023, Previously Ranked 46th in 2022).....	137
7.3.3. Challenges and Limitations	137
7.3.4. Expected Contributions	139
7.4 Results and Insights.....	140
7.4.1. Overview of HEI Scores.....	140
7.4.1.a. HRM Capability.....	142
7.4.1.b. KM Capability.....	142
7.4.1.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	143
7.4.1.d. Investment Capability.....	143
7.4.2. Overview Summary	144
7.4.3. Category-Level Analysis	144
7.4.3.a. HRM Capability.....	144
7.4.3.b. KM Capability.....	146
7.4.3.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	147
7.4.3.d. Investment Capability.....	148
7.4.3.e. Insights and Early Implications for GIT COMPANY Japan:.....	150
7.4.4. JTC COMPANY HEI Analysis.....	151
7.4.4.a. HRM Capability.....	151
7.4.4.b. KM Capability.....	152
7.4.4.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	154
7.4.4.d. Investment Capability.....	156
7.4.4.e. Insights and Early Implications for JTC COMPANY	158
7.4.5. JEE COMPANY Analysis	158
7.4.5.a. HRM Capability.....	159

7.4.5.b. KM Capability.....	161
7.4.5.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	163
7.4.5.d. Investment Capability.....	165
7.4.5.e. Insights and Early Implications for JEE COMPANY.....	166
7.5. Evaluating the Validity of HEI Scores	168
7.6. Step 1: External Benchmarking – How Well Do HEI Scores Correlate with External Innovation Rankings?	170
7.6.1. Understanding BCG's Innovation Ranking and Its Relevance to HEI	171
7.6.1.1. BCG Innovation Ranking Criteria.....	171
7.6.1.2. Relevance of BCG's Ranking for HEI Validation	172
7.6.2. Integrating BCG's Criteria into HEI Analysis	173
7.6.3. Comparing JTC COMPANY and GIT COMPANY Japan's HEI Scores with BCG Rankings	174
7.6.3.1. Analytical Framework: Structural Comparison between BCG Rankings and HEI Scores	174
7.6.3.2. Shared Evaluation Perspectives between HEI and BCG.....	175
7.6.3.3. Empirical Examination of Alignment between HEI Scores and BCG Rankings.....	175
7.6.3.4. Considerations and Discrepancies between HEI Scores and BCG Rankings.....	176
7.6.3.5. Strategic Interpretation: HEI as a Complement and a Contrasting Axis to BCG	179
7.7. Step 2: Internal Consistency – Do HEI Scores Align with Corporate HR Strategies?.....	181
7.7.1. Introduction to Internal Consistency Validation	181
7.7.2. Interview Design and Methodology.....	181
7.8. Reporting Interview Findings	182
7.8.1. GIT COMPANY Japan: Interview Feedback on HEI Scores	182
7.8.1.a. HRM Capability.....	182
7.8.1.b. KM Capability.....	183
7.8.1.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	183
7.8.1.d. Investment Capability.....	183
7.8.1.e. General Insights.....	184
7.8.2. JTC COMPANY: Interview Feedback on HEI Scores	184
7.8.2.a. HRM Capability.....	184
7.8.2.b. KM Capability.....	184
7.8.2.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	185
7.8.2.d. Investment Capability.....	185
7.8.2.e. General Insights.....	186
7.8.3. JEE COMPANY: Interview Feedback on HEI Scores	186
7.8.3.a. HRM Capability.....	186
7.8.3.b. KM Capability.....	187

7.8.3.c. Kokorozashi Capability.....	187
7.8.3.d. Investment Capability.....	187
7.8.3.e. General Insights.....	188
7.8.4. Key Insights from Interview-Based Validation.....	189
7.8.4.1. Major Consistencies:.....	189
7.8.4.2. Key Discrepancies:	189
7.8.4.3. Emerging Insights:.....	190
7.8.5. Implications for HEI Development and Corporate Strategy.....	191
Chapter 8: Study overview, conclusions, and recommendations.....	194
8.1 Introduction.....	194
8.2 Study Overview.....	195
8.3 Answers to Research Questions.....	196
8.3.1 Answer to RQ1: How can modern human resource management practices facilitate environments that encourage strategic risk-taking and knowledge creation?.....	199
8.3.1.1. Theoretical Context	199
8.3.1.2. Empirical Findings: GIT COMPANY, JTC COMPANY, and JEE COMPANY.....	200
8.3.1.3. Summary Interpretation.....	200
8.3.2 Answer to RQ2: What role does learning from strategic mistakes play in generating valuable knowledge within a VUCA environment?	200
8.3.2.1. Theoretical Context	200
8.3.2.2. Empirical Findings: GIT COMPANY, JTC COMPANY, and JEE COMPANY.....	201
8.3.2.3. Summary Interpretation.....	201
8.3.3 Answer to RQ3: How do tacit knowledge conversion practices contribute to fostering organizational innovation?.....	202
8.3.3.1. Theoretical Context	202
8.3.3.2. Empirical Findings: GIT COMPANY, JTC COMPANY, and JEE COMPANY.....	202
8.3.3.3. Summary Interpretation.....	203
8.3.4 Answer to RQ4: What is the relationship between employees' affective commitment and their willingness to share knowledge within organizations?.....	203
8.3.4.1. Theoretical Context	203
8.3.4.2. Empirical Findings: GIT COMPANY, JTC COMPANY, and JEE COMPANY.....	203
8.3.4.3. Summary Interpretation.....	204
8.3.5 Answer to RQ5: How does "Kokorozashi (Personal Mission)" influence employees' affective commitment in hierarchical and collectivist organizational cultures?.....	204
8.3.5.1. Theoretical Context	205
8.3.5.2. Empirical Findings: GIT COMPANY, JTC COMPANY, and JEE COMPANY.....	205
8.3.5.3. Summary Interpretation.....	206
8.3.6. Synthesis and Implications	206

8.4 The Significance of "New Efficiency" and the HEI	206
8.4.1. Rethinking Efficiency in a VUCA World.....	206
8.4.2. Toward a "New Efficiency"	207
8.4.3. The Role of Kokorozashi.....	207
8.4.4. Strategic Refinements and Validation Directions	208
8.4.5. Further Exploration Points: Toward an Expanded Understanding of HEI	209
8.5 Recommendations for Practice, Policy, and Research.....	210
8.5.1. Recommendations for Corporate Practice.....	210
8.5.1.1. Apply the HEI as a Strategic Diagnostic Tool.....	211
8.5.1.2 Integrate Kokorozashi into HR Development Programs.....	211
8.5.1.3. Reinvest in Time, Trust, and Talent.....	212
8.5.2. Recommendations for Policy and National Innovation Strategy.....	212
8.5.2.1 Recognize Human-Centered Efficiency as a Competitive Driver	212
8.5.2.2. Promote Tools like HEI through Public-Private Collaboration.....	213
8.5.3. Recommendations for Future Research	213
8.5.3.1, Sectoral and Regional Comparisons	213
8.5.3.2 Longitudinal Tracking	214
8.5.3.3 Behavioral and Qualitative Validation.....	214
8.6 Limitations of the Study	214
8.6.1. Scope and Generalizability	214
8.6.2. Data Availability	215
8.6.3. Regional Variability.....	215
8.6.4. Sector Bias	215
8.6.5. Methodological Constraints.....	215
8.6.6. Operationalization of Abstract Concepts	215
8.6.7. Temporal and External Dimensions	215
8.6.8. Potential for Index Refinement	216
8.7 Closing Reflections	216
8.7.1. Human-Centered Innovation: Bridging Ideal and Practice	217
8.7.2. Reflective Insights on the Research Journey	217
8.7.3. Perspective Outlook	217
REFERENCES.....	219
APPENDIX.....	250
List of Abbreviations.....	250
List of Figures.....	251
List of Tables	252